,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/614,592	KIM, HAKJIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang D. Thanh	3764
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Quang D. Thanh.	(3)	
(2) <u>Choongseop Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 15, 17-18, 20-22,		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Quar Allah		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to cancel claims 17 and 20, and to incorporate all the limitations of claim 17 into claim 1 in order to clearly define the present invention over the prior art. Applicant also agreed to minor changes re claims 15, 18 and 21-22 (see examiner's amendment).